PATENT NO.	PATENT DATE
4,373,527	02-15-83
Robert E. Fisc	hell



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

*Robert E. Archibald The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20707

MAILING	DAT	Έ		
F	EΒ	1	1984	

NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL IN-PART OF CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

	NOTH TOATION OF ATTROVAL IN-PART OF CENTIFICATE OF CORRECTION
	ate of Correction requested in the patent identified above has been APPROVED with the exceptions indicated below. The crors will be corrected as requested. The Certificate, so modified, will be issued on
A. X THE	CHANGES BELOW CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE SINCE THE REQUEST WAS FILED UNDER RULE 322. and 53 are
1. X	Columns 12&37 , lines 11/, printed in accordance with the record.
_	(a) The change referred to was initialed and dated by applicant before execution of the application papers.
2. X	In column 39 , line 23 , the error resulted from applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(a), in that the precise point of entry of the amendment was omitted.
3.	In column, line, the alleged error is due to applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(b), wherein provision is made for use of <u>brackets</u> , instead of parentheses, to cancel subject matter and for the use of <u>interlineations</u> to indicate new subject matter.
4.	Omission of the priority data from the patent resulted from applicant's failure to fully comply with 35 U.S.C. 119, in that:
	(a) The priority data was omitted from the oath, or declaration.
	(b) The claim for priority was not included in the application papers.
_	(c) The certified copy of the foreign application was not filed.
5.	The assignment data is printed in the patent in accordance with PTO 76-136, submitted by applicant at time of payment of the base issue fee, as follows:
6.	In column, line, the error arose because Rule 52(b) was not complied with. Consequently, words on top of certain pages were obliterated where those pages were placed in the file jacket, causing the Office to provide what appeared to be the proper words.
. 🔲 тні	REQUEST HAS BEEN CHANGED AS SHOWN BELOW TO COMPLY WITH THE RECORD:
1.	Since it is not normally the practice of the Office to reprint figures of the drawings, the following narrative description of Fig(s) as suggested by the examiner, will be included:
· Y ·	
Form	PTOL-404 (rev. 10/80) (over)

2.	The error complained of in column where the changes will be made.	, line	, occurred in	coʻlumn	, line ,
3.	The assignment will be shown in accord	dance with the Ass	ignment Division reco	rds which read as fol	lows:
4.	The change requested in		has been	n modified by	
1 , a	. A				
c 🗖 vué s	FOLLOWING CORRECTION(S) CANNOT BE II	NCLUDED IN THE	CERTIFICATE FOR THE	REASONS GIVEN BE	.ow:
	,		be in column		
	found in the printed patent.	/ / F : · F : · · · ·			•
2.	The alleged error in column style of the Invention Patent Manual.	, line	_ , is an editing chan	nge made in accordar	ce with the
3.	In column , line be in accordance with the permissible a	, the alleged e amendments enume	rror is in fact a chang ated in M.P.E.P. 1302	e made by the examinute.	ner and considered to
4.	In the title, it is the practice to exclud	e words súch as "	mprovements in", "N	ew", etc., from the p	rinted patent.
5.	Comparison of the patent in column file reveals that there is no discrepanc		ne, with the	corresponding location	n in the application
6.	The records of the Assignment Division records at the time of issuance.	indicate that the	patent has been printe	ed in accordance with	the assignment
7.	The numbering of the claims in the prin examiner as described in M.P.E.P. 608.0		cordance with the renu	imbering of dependen	t claims by the
8.	The alleged error in columnallowance. Since no error is involved the requested change will not be included.	and since applican	t filed no objection pr	in an Examiner's An ior to payment of the	endment at time of base issue fee,
9.	The error complained of in columnreports the following:	, line	cannot be co	orrected since the Gr	oup Director
		•			
A	~ ====================================				
D. OTH	<u>.</u> n				

This decision is rendered pursuant to authority delegated by the Solicitor under authority delegated to him by the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

Supervisor, Certificates of Correction Branch